

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231, www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/526,317	03/15/2000	Bernt H. Torkildsen	1101.87US01	9319
24113	7590 02/13/2003			
PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A.			EXAMINER	
80 SOUTH 8	4800 IDS CENTER 80 SOUTH 8TH STREET		SMITH, DUANE	
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN 55402-2100		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1724	8
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003	, , ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(Q)
	09/526,317	TORKILDSEN ET AL.	RL (
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	- 4t
	Duane S. Smith	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by states.	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communi	ication.
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ailing date of this communication, even if tim	ely filed, may reduce any	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims			rits is
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 March 2000</u> is/are	e: a)∏ accepted or b)⊠ objected	to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to		, ,	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	, ,		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	• •		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·)
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional appl	ication).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Pape	r No. 8

Application/Control Number: 09/526,317 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

- 2. The listing of references in the specification(EP-B-379319 at page 4 line 3) is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.
- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the inclusion of legal phraseology "comprises" at line 2. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. <u>Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading.</u> If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP 8 608 05(a)

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/526,317

Art Unit: 1724

- (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: "60" as in Fig. 9. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 6. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "52" in Fig. 9 as on page 14 line 12. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 7. Claims 2,11,13,14,15,18,19, and 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/526,317

Art Unit: 1724

In claim 2 "and/or" is indefinite, the phrase renders the meets and bounds of the claim indeterminate.

In claim 11 "and/or" is indefinite.

In claims 13 and 14 "the contactor" lacks clear antecedent basis.

Claim 15 is an improper hybrid claim.

In claims 18 and 19 "and/or" is indefinite.

Claims 22-28 are improper claims and should be rewritten in positive process language.

In claim 25 "and/or" is indefinite.

8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

9. Claims 1-28 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,284,024. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patent ably distinct from each other because in the instant application a further contactor is claimed and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Art Unit: 1724

No. 6,284,024 in order to increase the efficiency of the adsorption, to increase for multiplied effect being an obvious modification to one of ordinary skill in the art, see St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., Inc. 193 USPQ 8,11.

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 11. Prior art references cited on PT0-892(Notice of References Cited) are considered to be of interest, disclosing similar methods and apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duane S. Smith whose telephone number is 703-308-3792. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00 M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Simmons can be reached on 703-308-1972. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7718 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1724